



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Atty. Docket: FORSYTH=1

In re Application of: 3233 Conf. No.: Ben FORSYTH 2161 Art Unit:

Examiner: NOT YET KNOWN Appln. No.: 10/578,866

Filed: January 30, 2007 Washington, D.C.

April 11, 2007 For: METHOD IN A NETWORK OF THE) DELIVERY OF FILES

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT [IDS]

Honorable Commissioner for Patents U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Customer Service Window Randolph Building, Mail Stop Amendment 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

This Information Disclosure Statement is submitted in accordance with 37 CFR §§1.97, 1.98, and it is requested that the information set forth in this statement and in the listed documents be considered during the pendency of the aboveidentified application, and any other application relying on the filing date of the above-identified application or crossreferencing it as a related application.

- This IDS should be considered, in accordance with 37 CFR §1.97, as it is filed within three months of the filing date of the above-identified national application or within three months of the entry into the national stage of the aboveidentified international application.
- 2. In accordance with 37 CFR §1.98, this IDS includes a list (e.g., form BN/SB/08A/B) of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the office. either incorporated into this IDS or as an attachment hereto. Other than U.S. patent(s) and/or published U.S. application(s),

which 37 CFR §1.98(a)(2)(ii) does not require to be filed unless specifically required by the Office, a copy of each document listed is attached.

- 3. No explanation of relevance is necessary for documents in the English language (see reply to Comments 67 and 68 in the preamble to the final rules; 1135 OG 13 at 20).
- 4. Other information being provided for the examiner's consideration follows:

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

5. In accordance with 37 CFR §§1.97(g) and (h), the filling of this IDS should not be construed as a representation that a search has been made or that information cited is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR §1.56(b), or that any cited document listed or attached is (or constitutes) prior art. Unless otherwise indicated, the date of publication indicated for an item is taken from the face of the item and Applicant reserves the right to prove that the date of publication is in fact different.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK Attorneys for Applicant(s)

Bv:

Sheridan Neimark Registration No. 20,520

SN:nlw 624 Ninth Street, N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20001-5303 Telephone: (202)628-5197 Facsimile: (202)737-3528 APR 1 1 2007

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

Complete if Known 10/578,866 Application Number Filing Date January 30, 2007 First Named Inventor Ben FORSYTH 2161 Group Art Unit Examiner Name NOT YET KNOWN

(use as many sheets as necessary) Sheet 1 of 1

Attorney Docket Number FORSYTH=1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS									
Examiner nitials*	Cite No.1	Document Number Number-Kind Code ²¹⁸ knows)	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear				
/C.K./	AA	US-6,324,690	11-27-2001	LUU					
/C.K./	AB	US-6,327,617	12-04-2001	FAWCETT					
		US-							
		US-							
		US-							
		us-							
		us-							
		US-							
		US-							
		US-							
		US-							
		US-							
		US-							
		US-							
		US-							
		us-							
		US-							

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS								
Examiner	Cite No.1	Foreign Patent Number Country Code ³ Number ⁵ Kind Code ⁵ (if known)	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	T ⁶		
/C.K./	AC	GB 2 348 721	10-11-2001	IDEAGEN SOFTWARE LIMITED				
/C.K./	AD	EP 0 841 615	05-13-1998	INT COMPUTERS LTD				
						F		
		-				F		
	_		-			-		
						-		

Examiner Signature	/Chuck Kendall/	Date Considered	09/15/2010

^{**}EXAMINE: Initial Interests consistent, whether or not cisions in conformance with MEP 900. Down the through cision if not is conformance and not consistent. However, only the firm with an commerciation by appeared "Application is using each state of property of the firm with an commerciation by appeared "Application is used as the conformation and the conformation is used to the conformation and the con